ASC-AOP-02: Onsite Assessment and Witness Audit Procedure

Title: Onsite Assessment and Witness Audit Procedure

Document No.: ASC-AOP-02

Revision: 01

Effective Date: October 2025

Prepared by: Muhammad Fahmy, Chairman **Approved by:** [Second Chairman Name]

Applies to: All onsite assessment and witness audit activities conducted by ASC for

third-party certification bodies

1. Purpose

This procedure establishes the methodology for conducting onsite assessments and witness audits of third-party certification bodies (CBs) seeking or maintaining accreditation under the FDA Accredited Third-Party Certification Program and ISO/IEC 17011 requirements.

2. Scope

This procedure applies to all ASC assessment team members conducting onsite assessments and witness audits of CBs performing food safety audits under FDA-recognized scopes.

3. References

- 21 CFR Part 1, Subpart M: Accreditation of Third-Party Certification Bodies
- ISO/IEC 17011:2017: Requirements for Accreditation Bodies

- ISO/IEC 17065:2012: Requirements for Bodies Certifying Products, Processes and Services
- ASC-MS-01: Accreditation & Certification Management System Manual
- ASC-SOP-AB-01: Accreditation and Oversight Procedure
- ASC-AOP-05: Competence and Qualification of Assessors
- SOP-02: Assessment and Witness Audit Procedure

4. Definitions

Onsite Assessment: Physical evaluation of a CB's facilities, operations, personnel, and systems at the CB's location(s).

Witness Audit: Observation of a CB's auditor(s) conducting a food safety audit at an eligible entity's facility to verify competence and methodology.

Assessment Team: Group of qualified assessors and technical experts assigned to conduct the assessment.

Lead Assessor: Senior assessor responsible for planning, conducting, and reporting the assessment.

Technical Expert: Subject matter specialist providing expertise in specific FDA scopes or technical areas.

Eligible Entity: Foreign facility subject to FDA food safety requirements that may be audited and certified by accredited CBs.

5. Responsibilities

Role	Responsibility	
Lead Assessor	Plan and conduct assessment; prepare assessment report; coordinate team activities.	
Assessment Team Members	Participate in assessment activities; document findings; support Lead Assessor.	
Technical Experts	Provide specialized knowledge; evaluate technical competence in specific scopes.	
Quality Manager	Review assessment plans and reports; ensure procedural compliance.	
Administrative Officer	Coordinate logistics; schedule assessments; maintain records.	

6. Procedure

6.1 Assessment Planning

6.1.1 Team Selection

1. Lead Assessor Assignment

- 2. Quality Manager assigns qualified Lead Assessor based on:
 - CB's scope of accreditation
 - Geographic location
 - Language requirements
 - Assessor availability and competence
- 3. Lead Assessor must meet requirements in ASC-AOP-05.

4. Team Composition

- 5. Lead Assessor recommends team composition including:
 - Additional assessors (if needed for scope or complexity)
 - Technical experts for specialized FDA scopes

- Observers or assessors-in-training (if applicable)
- 6. Team size appropriate to CB's size, scope, and complexity.
- 7. All team members must be free from conflicts of interest.

8. Conflict of Interest Check

- 9. All team members complete conflict of interest declaration.
- 10. Quality Manager reviews declarations for potential conflicts.
- 11. Team members with conflicts replaced or recused from specific activities.

6.1.2 Document Review

1. Pre-Assessment Documentation

- 2. CB provides documentation at least 30 days before onsite assessment:
 - Quality Management System manual
 - Organizational chart and personnel qualifications
 - Audit procedures and checklists
 - Sample audit reports and certifications
 - Training records and competence evaluations
 - Conflict of interest management procedures
 - Complaint and appeal procedures
 - List of current and planned audits

3. Document Analysis

- 4. Lead Assessor reviews documentation to:
 - Verify completeness and adequacy
 - Identify areas requiring detailed examination
 - Prepare assessment questions and focus areas
 - Determine witness audit opportunities
- 5. Document review findings documented in assessment plan.

6.1.3 Assessment Plan Development

1. Plan Contents

- 2. Lead Assessor develops comprehensive assessment plan including:
 - Assessment objectives and scope
 - Team composition and roles
 - Assessment schedule and timeline
 - Locations to be visited
 - Personnel to be interviewed
 - Processes and documents to be examined
 - Witness audit schedule (if applicable)
 - Assessment criteria and methodology
 - Resource requirements

3. Witness Audit Planning

- 4. Lead Assessor selects representative audit(s) to witness based on:
 - FDA scope(s) being assessed
 - o Auditor competence to be verified
 - Geographic accessibility
 - Timing and availability
 - Risk and complexity
- 5. Minimum one witness audit required for initial accreditation.
- 6. Additional witness audits may be needed for multiple scopes or locations.

7. Plan Approval and Communication

- 8. Assessment plan reviewed and approved by Quality Manager.
- 9. Plan shared with CB at least 30 days before onsite assessment.
- 10. CB confirms logistics, personnel availability, and witness audit arrangements.
- 11. Any changes to plan documented and communicated promptly.

6.2 Onsite Assessment Execution

6.2.1 Opening Meeting

1. Meeting Objectives

- 2. Confirm assessment scope, objectives, and schedule.
- 3. Introduce assessment team and CB personnel.
- 4. Explain assessment methodology and confidentiality.
- 5. Address CB questions and concerns.
- 6. Confirm logistics and access arrangements.

7. Meeting Documentation

- 8. Attendance list signed by all participants.
- 9. Key discussion points documented.
- 10. Any changes to assessment plan noted and agreed.

6.2.2 Assessment Activities

1. Facility Tour

- 2. Assessment team tours CB's facilities to observe:
 - Office layout and organization
 - Document and record storage
 - IT systems and security
 - Meeting and training facilities
- 3. Observations documented with photographs (if permitted).

4. Personnel Interviews

- 5. Structured interviews conducted with:
 - Management and leadership
 - Lead auditors and audit team members
 - Technical specialists
 - Administrative and support staff

Decision-makers for certification

6. Interviews assess:

- Knowledge of FDA requirements and CB procedures
- Understanding of roles and responsibilities
- Competence and qualifications
- Impartiality and independence

7. Document and Record Examination

8. Review of:

- Quality management system documentation
- Personnel qualification and training records
- Audit planning and execution records
- Audit reports and certification decisions
- Complaint and appeal records
- o Internal audit and management review records
- Conflict of interest declarations
- Contracts with clients and auditors
- 9. Sample size based on CB's volume and risk.

10. Process Observation

- 11. Observation of CB processes such as:
 - Audit planning and preparation
 - Auditor briefings and debriefings
 - Report writing and review
 - Certification decision-making
 - Internal quality checks
- 12. Observations verify procedures are followed in practice.

13. **IT Systems Review**

14. Evaluation of:

- Audit scheduling and tracking systems
- Document management and version control
- Record retention and backup procedures
- Data security and confidentiality controls
- Reporting systems for FDA submissions

6.2.3 Findings Documentation

- 1. Finding Classification
- 2. **Conformity:** CB meets requirements; no action needed.
- 3. **Observation:** Opportunity for improvement; no immediate action required; monitored in surveillance.
- 4. **Minor Nonconformity:** Isolated lapse or weakness; does not prevent accreditation; corrective action required.
- 5. **Major Nonconformity:** Significant failure to meet requirements; prevents accreditation until resolved; immediate corrective action required.
- 6. Finding Documentation
- 7. Each finding documented with:
 - Finding number and classification
 - Requirement reference (regulation, standard, or procedure)
 - Objective evidence (documents, records, observations, interviews)
 - Description of nonconformity or observation
 - Potential impact on CB's competence or impartiality
- 8. Findings reviewed daily by assessment team for consistency.
- 9. Evidence Collection
- 10. Objective evidence collected and documented:
 - Copies of relevant documents (with CB permission)
 - Photographs of facilities or processes
 - Interview notes and responses
 - Observation records

11. Evidence organized and referenced to findings.

6.2.4 Closing Meeting

1. Meeting Objectives

- 2. Present assessment findings to CB management.
- 3. Explain finding classifications and evidence.
- 4. Discuss corrective action requirements and timelines.
- 5. Address CB questions and clarifications.
- 6. Outline next steps in accreditation process.

7. Meeting Documentation

- 8. Attendance list signed by all participants.
- 9. Findings presented and discussed.
- 10. CB acknowledgment of findings (not necessarily agreement).
- 11. Corrective action timeline confirmed (typically 30 days).
- 12. Meeting minutes prepared and signed.

6.3 Witness Audit Execution

6.3.1 Witness Audit Preparation

1. Audit Selection

- 2. Witness audit selected to represent:
 - CB's typical audit scope and methodology
 - FDA requirements for the accreditation scope
 - o Auditor competence to be verified
 - Facility type and complexity
- 3. Eligible entity notified and consents to witness audit.

4. Pre-Audit Briefing

5. Lead Assessor reviews with CB auditor:

- Audit plan and objectives
- Facility background and scope
- ASC's role as observer
- o Confidentiality and non-interference
- Observation criteria and methodology

6. Observation Plan

- 7. Lead Assessor develops observation plan covering:
 - Audit phases to be observed (opening, execution, closing)
 - Specific activities to evaluate
 - Auditor competencies to assess
 - Documentation to review

6.3.2 Witness Audit Observation

1. Observation Activities

- 2. ASC assessor observes CB auditor conducting:
 - Opening Meeting: Introduction, scope confirmation, logistics
 - Facility Tour: Observation of operations and conditions
 - **Document Review:** Examination of records and documentation
 - Interviews: Questioning of facility personnel
 - **Process Observation:** Verification of food safety controls
 - **Nonconformity Documentation:** Recording of findings
 - **Closing Meeting:** Presentation of findings and next steps

3. Evaluation Criteria

- 4. ASC assessor evaluates:
 - Technical Competence: Knowledge of FDA requirements, food safety principles, and audit standards
 - Audit Methodology: Systematic approach, sampling, evidence collection

- Communication Skills: Clear questioning, active listening, professional demeanor
- **Objectivity:** Impartial evaluation, evidence-based findings
- Report Writing: Accurate documentation, clear findings, appropriate conclusions
- **Professionalism:** Ethical conduct, confidentiality, respect

5. Non-Interference Principle

- 6. ASC assessor observes without interfering in audit process.
- 7. Questions or clarifications addressed during breaks or after audit.
- 8. ASC assessor does not direct audit activities or findings.
- 9. Eligible entity informed of ASC's observer role.

6.3.3 Post-Audit Review

1. Debriefing with CB Auditor

- 2. ASC assessor debriefs with CB auditor after audit completion.
- 3. Discussion of:
 - Audit performance and methodology
 - Strengths and areas for improvement
 - Compliance with CB procedures and FDA requirements
 - Any concerns or nonconformities observed

4. Audit Report Review

- 5. ASC assessor reviews CB's audit report when completed.
- 6. Verification that:
 - Report accurately reflects audit findings
 - Findings supported by objective evidence
 - Conclusions appropriate and justified
 - o Report format and content meet FDA requirements
 - o Certification decision (if applicable) is appropriate

7. Witness Audit Documentation

- 8. ASC assessor prepares witness audit observation report including:
 - Audit details (date, location, auditor, scope)
 - Observation activities and duration
 - Evaluation of auditor competence
 - Findings and evidence
 - o Strengths and weaknesses identified
 - Recommendation regarding auditor qualification
- 9. Report included in overall assessment documentation.

6.4 Assessment Reporting

6.4.1 Report Preparation

1. Report Structure

- 2. Lead Assessor prepares comprehensive assessment report with:
 - Executive Summary: Overview of assessment, key findings, recommendation
 - **Assessment Details:** Scope, dates, locations, team composition
 - **CB Information:** Organization, scope, personnel, facilities
 - Assessment Methodology: Activities conducted, documents reviewed, interviews
 - **Findings:** Detailed findings with evidence and classification
 - **Corrective Actions:** CB's responses and ASC's verification
 - Witness Audit Results: Summary of witness audit observations
 - **Competence Evaluation:** Assessment of CB's competence and capacity
 - Impartiality Evaluation: Assessment of conflict of interest management
 - o Recommendation: Grant, deny, or defer accreditation with justification
 - **Annexes:** Finding details, evidence, attendance lists, photographs

3. Report Review

- 4. Assessment report reviewed by:
 - Quality Manager for completeness and procedural compliance
 - Technical experts for technical accuracy
 - Lead Assessor for final approval
- 5. Report revised as needed based on review comments.

6. Report Distribution

- 7. Final report distributed to:
 - CB (within 15 business days of assessment completion)
 - Accreditation Decision Committee
 - ASC management
 - ASC records (retained for 10 years)

6.4.2 Corrective Action Verification

- 1. Corrective Action Plan
- 2. CB submits corrective action plan within 30 days addressing all nonconformities.
- 3. Plan must include:
 - Root cause analysis
 - Corrective actions (immediate and long-term)
 - Implementation timeline
 - Responsibility assignments
 - Evidence of effectiveness

4. ASC Review

- 5. Lead Assessor reviews corrective action plan for adequacy.
- 6. Plan may be:
 - Accepted: Addresses nonconformity effectively
 - **Rejected:** Inadequate or incomplete; CB must revise
- 7. Feedback provided to CB within 10 business days.
- 8. Verification

- 9. Verification methods based on nonconformity severity:
 - **Document Review:** For minor nonconformities; review of evidence
 - Follow-up Visit: For major nonconformities; onsite verification
 - Witness Audit: For competence-related issues; observe implementation
- 10. Verification results documented and added to assessment report.

11. Closure

- 12. Nonconformities closed when:
 - Corrective actions implemented effectively
 - Evidence demonstrates sustained compliance
 - Lead Assessor approves closure
- 13. Closure documented in assessment report.

6.5 Special Circumstances

6.5.1 Multi-Site Assessments

1. Scope Determination

- 2. For CBs with multiple offices or locations:
 - Headquarters always assessed
 - Representative sample of other locations based on risk and scope
 - Remote/virtual locations assessed through IT systems review

3. Sampling Methodology

- 4. Sample size based on:
 - Number of locations and personnel
 - Scope diversity across locations
 - Risk and complexity
 - Previous assessment results
- 5. Minimum 30% of locations for initial accreditation.

6.5.2 Remote Assessment Activities

1. Acceptable Remote Activities

- 2. Document review
- 3. Personnel interviews (video conference)
- 4. IT systems demonstration
- 5. Follow-up verification for minor nonconformities

6. Required Onsite Activities

- 7. Initial accreditation assessment (headquarters)
- 8. Witness audits (at eligible entity's facility)
- 9. Major nonconformity verification
- 10. Reassessment (at least headquarters)

6.5.3 Assessment Suspension or Termination

1. Grounds for Suspension

- 2. CB fails to provide access to records or personnel
- 3. CB misrepresents information or provides false documentation
- 4. Safety or security concerns for assessment team
- 5. CB requests postponement

6. Suspension Procedure

- 7. Lead Assessor consults with Quality Manager
- 8. Decision documented with reasons
- 9. CB notified immediately
- 10. Rescheduling or termination determined based on circumstances

11. Assessment Termination

- 12. Assessment terminated if:
 - CB cannot demonstrate basic competence
 - Major nonconformities cannot be resolved

- CB withdraws application
- Fraud or misrepresentation discovered
- 13. Termination reported to Accreditation Decision Committee
- 14. Application denied or withdrawn as appropriate

7. Competence Requirements

7.1 Lead Assessor Qualifications

- Minimum 5 years experience in food safety, quality management, or regulatory compliance
- Training in ISO/IEC 17011, ISO/IEC 17065, and 21 CFR Part 1 Subpart M
- Successful completion of ASC Lead Assessor training program
- Demonstrated competence in assessment methodology and report writing
- Knowledge of FDA food safety requirements and FSMA regulations

7.2 Assessment Team Member Qualifications

- Minimum 3 years relevant experience
- Training in assessment techniques and FDA requirements
- Technical knowledge appropriate to CB's scope
- Satisfactory performance in previous assessments

7.3 Technical Expert Qualifications

- Subject matter expertise in specific FDA scope (e.g., Preventive Controls, Dietary Supplements)
- Minimum 5 years industry or regulatory experience
- Understanding of audit and accreditation principles
- Ability to evaluate technical competence of CB auditors

8. Records

Records generated from this procedure include:

- Assessment plans and schedules
- Conflict of interest declarations
- Assessment findings and evidence
- Witness audit observation reports
- Assessment reports and recommendations
- Corrective action plans and verification records
- Meeting minutes (opening and closing)
- Attendance lists and interview notes
- Photographs and document copies

All records retained for minimum 10 years per 21 CFR 1.625.

9. References to Regulations

- 21 CFR 1.620(a)(1)-(3): Evaluation of Third-Party Certification Bodies
- 21 CFR 1.621(b): Onsite Observations and Witness Audits
- 21 CFR 1.625: Records Requirements
- ISO/IEC 17011:2017 Clause 7.6: Assessment of Conformity Assessment Bodies
- ISO/IEC 17065:2012: Requirements for Certification Bodies

10. Revision History

Revision	Date	Description of Change	Approved By
01	October 2025	Initial Issue – Detailed procedures for onsite assessment and witness audits aligned with FDA and ISO requirements	Muhammad Fahmy, Chairman

Document Control:

This document is controlled and maintained by the ASC Quality Manager. Printed copies are uncontrolled. Always refer to the electronic document management system for the latest approved version.